

the water table, contamination of heavy metals along with damaging soil texture, creating soil hole those could cause for landslide, earthquake or intrusion of pollutants into ecosystems and damaging natural resources. Land use assessment for cotton production shows the potential impacts on biodiversity including both flora and fauna and their ecosystem <sup>[13]</sup>. In this perspective, soil pattern, soil texture and moisture content, soil organic and inorganic composition, soil pH, salinity, nutrients such as P, K, N, S and the soil organisms could be taken as the indicator to evaluate the land use impacts <sup>[14]</sup>. The soil surface layer is also taken into account for assessing transformation status. The potential impacts from land use are reflected during the ginning, carding and combing process. The use of power-tiller for ploughing, use of mechanical tools for planting and harvesting have the probability to increase the soil erosion and damaging ecosystems of other vascular plants <sup>[15]</sup>.

Applying 'energy use' indicator during cotton production also reflects the potential impacts to the biotic and abiotic environment. Energy is needed for running tractor and water-pump during ploughing and irrigation.

Generally, tractor or power-tiller is run by fossil fuels i.e., hydrocarbon products those have the adverse impacts on soil ecosystem as well as potential threats to human health <sup>[16]</sup>. The combustion of fossil fuels emit the  $CO_2$ that has the potential contribution to the global warming <sup>[17]</sup>. In addition, burning fossil fuel also emit some other gases such as  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$  etc cause for acid rain. As well, it causes the cancer, damage of reproductive systems, stomach and alteration in anatomical features to the human as well as animals <sup>[18]</sup>. Besides, destroy the soil hydrophobicity and inhibit the total water and soil transport systems <sup>[19]</sup> cause the plant root toxicity and also affects the metabolic systems of soil organisms such as bacteria, fungi, bacteria, algae <sup>[17]</sup>. Furthermore, the biomass produce from the cotton tree has the potential to green house gas such as  $CH_4$  emission. On the other hand, water-pump is run by electricity. In that case, source of electricity will make question for sustainability of the 'T-Shirt'. If the electricity produces from renewable sources such as solar, wind, geothermal, wave, hydro or biomass sources could be considered as the clean energy. Although some recent studies argued to consider the LCA of these cleaner technologies i.e., PV solar panel, wind turbine and other mechanical tools use in clean energy generation. Further, if the electricity is grid connected then the impacts could be calculated on the basis of proportion contribution of renewable sources of total electricity generation <sup>[2]</sup>.

Availability of soil nutrients plays an important role on cotton production and affects the environmental parameters. Insufficient soil nutrients are lead to use of fertilizer. The applied nitrogen fertilizer emits green house gas N<sub>2</sub>O to the atmosphere through the field emission or leached from plant's root by heavy rains. Intensive energy is needed during its production process where sources of energy are also required importantly to examine. Its field application has the potential impacts on Global Warming (GW), Ozone Depletion (OD), Acid Rain (AR), Eutrophication, Acidification, anoxic zone and bioaccumulation <sup>[15]</sup>. The leaching excessive N moves down to the ground water contamination to form nitrate that commonly in taking by human during drinking water and affected blue baby syndrome <sup>[20]</sup>. Besides, the applied pesticides and herbicides during the cotton production have adverse effects on environment, ecosystem and human health. Soil contamination by pesticides alters the microbial activities, kill beneficial insects; water contamination leads the disruption of aquatic ecosystems and bioaccumulation in food chain <sup>[21]</sup>.

## 2.2. Industrial Phase

There is an intensive water and energy footprint of the 'T-Shirt' during the industrial processing and manufacturing stages. Both water and energy are needed for processing raw material from wool to pulp along with knitting, dying, washing, laundry, and woven drying etc. of textile fibers and finish product of the 'T-Shirt' <sup>[10]</sup>. These footprints could be obtained from analyzing the relevant all processing and manufacturing industrial processes. If we start first the 'bale opening-spinning' process that needs energy and water for mechanical operation, opening pulp, cleaning, mixing, carding, pre-drawing and drawing, combing and spinning cotton into yarn. Then the next 'yarn dyeing' process is compiled by the use of use of energy, bleaching, dyes and chemicals, scouring, dyeing, extraction and drying and yarn to colored yarn. Staging, jet preparation and dying with softening and drying are accomplished in the 'Batch dying' process. 'Knitting & Compaction' needs energy for knitting yarn to fabric and compacting to reduce length. Energy and chemicals also needed for beaming, drying, slashing, weaving, warping and filling yarn into fabric. 'Continues dying' process takes time and use relatively more water and energy for singeing, desizing, mercerizing, scouring, bleaching, dying, drying and re-drying of yarn into colored yarn and prepare for 'Sanforizing' process to shrinkage the finished fabric. Finally, 'Finishing' used to wet finishing, drying and curing fabric <sup>[15]</sup>.

Reference <sup>[22]</sup> reported that wood-based fiber needs 20m<sup>3</sup> water during processing and 243 m<sup>3</sup> for cooling of per metric tons fibers. According to Wang, J., et al. <sup>[23]</sup>, dying industry is ranked in second position for water consumption within all industries that consumed 9.548 billion tons in 2010. Simultaneously, this industry annually consumes significant amount 68.67 million tons of standard coal equivalent energy <sup>[24]</sup>. Energy is required for almost all mechanical operation, spinning, knitting, washing, dyeing, drying and finishing. Reference <sup>[25]</sup> stated that almost 50% electricity consumed during the spinning process. Using gasoline for heating to produce high temperature emits extensive amounts of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>. Energy also requires for effluent treatment during the aeration with blowers, agitation and different motors for whole treatment process <sup>[26]</sup>.

The used dyes and chemicals such as Sodium sulphate  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , Soda ash  $(Na_2CO_3)$ , Caustic soda (NaOH), Reactive Black 5, NaCl are highly polluting compounds to the surrounding environment especially on soil and aquatic body <sup>[25]</sup>. These chemicals become contaminated with water and indicate the pH value of water. The untreated metal and dyes effluents greatly influence on Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD), Dissolved Oxygen (DO), Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Temperature and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) those severely inhibit the metabolic activities in aquatic environment <sup>[27]</sup>. The contaminated hot dyeing water (approximately 130<sup>0</sup>C) instantly kills the aquatic organisms. These polluted effluents also affect the soil environment by the surface runoff. The soil contamination leads to adverse effects the soil organisms. Human beings are also vulnerable to the wastewater pollution. They affected by both chronic and acute effects due to up take aquatic organisms such as fish bear the toxic metal ions through the bioaccumulation and biomagnifications. Further, contaminated with groundwater by leaching through soil and accumulate into the human body during drinking the drinking water. The most notable chronic symptoms such as cognitive e.g., poor judgment, concentration and memory, anxiety, worry etc. emotional e.g., irritability, loneliness, depression agitation etc. physical e.g., aches, chest pain, nausea, dizziness, diarrhea etc and behavioral e.g., deteriorating nervous system, aggressiveness, silence etc. Besides, acute effects are damaging kidneys, nervous, cardiovascular, reproductive, endocrine and suppression immune systems, cause cancer and tumors, damage or alter DNA etc <sup>[28]</sup>.

These industrial processes also have the potential impacts on the atmospheric environment and affect directly and indirectly the all spheres i.e., biosphere, lithosphere, atmosphere of our environment. If we use environmental impact indicators upon the emitting gases and discharging effluents from these processes reflect that CO<sub>2</sub> has the GW potential, SO<sub>2</sub> has acidification, PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> have the eutrophication, CH<sub>4</sub> contribute to GW, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> photochemical oxidant formation and R-11 (CCl<sub>3</sub>F) has the ozone depletion potential <sup>[25]</sup>. The amount of energy consumption and emission potential both are high in the stentering, setting and effluent treatment processes and ecotoxicity level is also high due to discharging huge amount metals <sup>[26]</sup>. Reference <sup>[29]</sup> noticed that the application of bleach and enzyme for a 'T-Shirt' from scouring to bio-polishing or enzymatic rinse process (ERP) produces organochlorines, persistent pollutants and dioxin gas all of those have adverse impacts on natural aquatic and soil environment also causing human carcinogenic and respiratory problems.

Packaging is a major source of environmental burden, waste generation and also important for storage and transportation for optimizing quality <sup>[30]</sup>. Reference <sup>[31]</sup> found the one-third of total environmental impacts is raised during the production and transportation of packaging of total product life cycle. The used raw materials in packaging system affect the sustainability of the product through increasing reuse or recycling or reducing the weight of generated waste <sup>[1]</sup>. The fuel types of vehicles used for transporting finished 'T-Shirt' are also a considerable indicator to assess the sustainability. The automobiles have the potential to noise pollution that affect the human health. Besides, automobile washing water is contaminated with petroleum and detergent cause the water pollution and eutrophication. The fossil fuel burning and air conditioning in automobiles have the GW and OD potential for emitting green house gas  $CO_2$  and CFC. The toxic CO, NOx, hydrocarbon, particles and metals are also released during the emission. The scrapes from automobiles have now also considered as global environmental problem for its high management cost.

## 2.3. Consumer Phase

The distribution process of 'T-Shirt' in the market also can raise the question against it as a green product. The supplied energy sources to shopping mall and supermarket represent the ecological footprint on the basis of proportion of grid connected electricity. In the consumer phase of a 'T-Shirt' generally runs until its end of life. During the consumer phase it has footprint on water and energy. It needs water to wash and electricity for ironing. Inc., C. <sup>[15]</sup> reported a knit shirt is washed almost 56 times in its whole cycle although laundering behavior significantly influences it. The 'T-Shirt' considered as 'waste' which is based on the user preference.

# 2.4. Recycling Phase

Globally there are many millions of tons textile wastes are generating every year. A significant proportion of these textile products are needed to recycle. The main sources are processing and manufacturing, domestic furnishing, wearing etc. According to EPA (2011) there are 2 millions of clothing waste recovered from its postconsumer (individuals) and pre-consumer (manufacturer) in US. WRAP <sup>[32]</sup> mentioned that every year 31% of clothing wastes are sent to landfill for final dumping which are equivalent to 350,000 tons of used is clothing in UK. European Commission (EU) reported that there are 5.8 MTs produce by EU consumers in which 25% recycled by charities and rest of 4.3 MTs are disposed in landfill or burnt <sup>[33]</sup>. Land filling of Clothing materials has different detrimental effects on environment and soil ecosystem <sup>[7]</sup>. Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and CO<sub>2</sub> are the major GHGs emitted by decomposing clothing materials at the landfill those have the GW potential. The used dyes and chemicals used in fabric have the proximity to be contaminated with the soil, surface and groundwater by leaching. Incineration the most prominent another types clothing waste treatment process also emit furan and dioxin gases, SO<sub>2</sub>, HCl, fine particles etc. The residual flying ash is contaminated with different sorts of heavy metals such as Mercury (Hg), Lead (Pb), Cadmium (Cd) etc. These gases and metals have the GW, Acidification, Eco-toxicological potential to the physical and human environment. Besides, the incineration process also needs energy for burning wastes by high temperature.

Besides, gender and poor-rich discrimination, child labor, low wage, power relation with its supply chain and priority to power full people for supply can raise question for achieving sustainability. For example, Asian cotton production sector is not gender neutral. The gender ideologies in this region made women as "docile, nimble and unskilled" which push them to be assigned to the informal sectors <sup>[34]</sup>.

# 3. Prospects of Sustainable 'T-Shirt' Design

A sustainable product means no ecological footprint or deficit to the natural environment during its whole lifespan <sup>[6, 8]</sup>. Generally it does not emit harmful gases, use less or zero or clean energy, not produced by social discrimination e.g., neglecting oppressed people, considered caste in production, get preference for resource utilization, not genetically modified, try to best reuse, reduce, recycle, recovery and sustainable disposal management.

The LCA of a T-Shirt and probable sources of emissions in Figure 1 are illustrating the holistic view for designing sustainable T-Shirt. If we look at the different phases, we will find the water, energy, chemicals, land and labor as the main indicators for evaluating the sustainability of a T-Shirt. In essence, we can reduce or abate the ecological footprint by efficient and sensible use of these resources. Cotton contributes almost 0.8% of CO<sub>2</sub> of global total in its whole life-time <sup>[35]</sup>. As we see, irrigation is the major source of global water use <sup>[36]</sup>. The water used and energy requirement in cotton production phase is relatively lower than other phases of entire life of 'T-Shirt' consequently leads to low ecological footprint <sup>[15]</sup>. Contrary although global cotton producing arable land covers only 2.4% but accounts for total 11% of pesticides in every year <sup>[37]</sup>. <sup>[1]</sup> conducted a comparative LCA between conventional, organic and Genetically Modified (GM) agricultural practices and found organic one requires less fertilizer and pesticides consequently less environmental loads though needs more arable land. Similarly, GM species help to reduce field emissions by lower herbicides application, water use and other field operation systems.



Efficient or alternative use of chemicals to reduce contamination as well as maximum treatment initiative could potentially reduce adverse impacts of discharged effluents and emitted gases. Reference <sup>[26]</sup> reported that industrial processes are needed to take into consideration for designing cleaner products. Almost all processing and manufacturing stages consume a lot of water and energy that leads to emit different sort of gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CFC) along with discharging wastewater and effluents ( $PO_4^-$ ,  $NH_4^-$ ,) both have major potential impacts on acidification, eutrophication, ecotoxicity, resource scarcity, health impacts etc. Most of the literature suggested that improving and altering technical processes and raw materials in textile industry could significantly contribute to reduce these impacts. For example, sewing has lowest GW and eutrophication potential than the desizing, scouring and bleaching due to relatively low COD emissions and also landfill is more effective than the incineration for these discharges. Further, reference <sup>[23]</sup> reported that dyeing probably responsible for more environmental impacts for generating huge amount of highly contaminated wastewater and avoided by industries for high treatment cost (only 7% was treated in 2010). Besides, energy use during production, processing, marketing, transporting and washing of 'T-Shirt' are the prominent sources of energy and emissions.

Considering renewable energy sources from cradle to grave could minimize or abate the emissions. Globally solar water pumping system is encouraged by energy and environmental expert because of its cost effectiveness for irrigation. According to HWWI <sup>[38]</sup> report, India has the potentiality to save at least 225 billion 1tr/year of diesel by using 'Solar Photovoltaic (SPV)' systems in the irrigation sector. Industrial processing and manufacturing phases also have great potentials to reduce impacts as like. Reference <sup>[39]</sup> reported that renewable energy will contribute 21% of total industrial use in 2050 that would be equivalent to 50 exajoules in a year (EJ/yr). Consequently, potentially contribute to abate 10% of total and 25% of industrial GHG emissions. In addition, energy efficiency also make a remarkable constitutes along with it. For example, reference <sup>[2]</sup> found that efficient energy use during packaging materials, types of preservation and consumption behavior leads to energy saving. It has the potentiality to abate 15-20% of total CO<sub>2</sub> emission reductions in industrial sectors <sup>[39]</sup>.

The deal with end-product i.e., reuses, recycle and recover of 'T-Shirt' waste also importantly considered for being sustainable. According to reference <sup>[25]</sup> Recyclability Potential Index (RPI) could be used to quantify the economic and environmental benefits from the recycled materials of a textile commodity. The highest RPI value indicates the more gains from recycling process BS brings enormous benefits to us <sup>[40]</sup>. It helps to conserve resources (water and energy), minimize impacts (ecological, carbon footprint and health effects). Cotton waste has multiuse potentiality. It could be used in pulp and paper industry for producing paper, bond, medically for bandage and pulp and cellulose production for chemical industries. Also shredded used for mattress, quilt in bedding and yarn for spinning industry. It has a great energy value, mixing with cow and pig dung generates biogas and unusable portion could be burn to produce thermal energy. Moreover, current research found it as an excellent bulking ingredient with rich of protein (7%) for lactating cow. Further, its high water holding capacity, good C-N ratio and low heavy metal content recommend to land application as a compost as well as alternative of fertilizer.

The responsibility for ensuring sustainable work environment is reflecting from all most sides i.e., producers, consumer, buyer, government, non-governmental agencies. The ongoing global competition for cleaner and lower price, women work environment and wage has increased to the satisfactory level for some countries <sup>[41, 42]</sup>.

# 4. Conclusions

Nowadays, 'sustainability' is using as an important tool for branding, pricing, attracting consumers, considering preference for a cotton product development. LCA is a prominent tool to assess the environmental footprint of a 'T-Shirt' production from raw material acquisition through consumer use and disposal. Different sorts of sister tools of LCA such as LCI, LCC, EI, LCIA, ESI and RPI are used to see the socio-economic and environmental impacts of a 'T-Shirt'. This assessment is eliciting that every stages of a 'T-Shirt' production from raw materials to disposal phase have proximity to discharge hazardous effluents and emissions of gases. Consequently, has the potentiality to affect our socio-economic condition, all spheres of environment and health through GW, acidification, ozone depletion, acid rain, eutrophication, surface and ground water and soil contamination all of those lead to acute and chronic effects on human health.

Use of LCA as a decision support tool, suggest the dos and don'ts for producing a sustainable 'T-Shirt'. The illustrated reasons of footprint are suggesting for saving potential resources such as water, land and energy. The renewable energy sources could minimize the existing equivalent production cost and abate the damage cost. Further, prioritization of user habit on the basis of cost-benefit analysis (CBA) could be a guild line for more user-centric sustainable product design. Efficient resources utilization, ensuring maximum use, rethinking for alternative of hazardous materials and reuse, recycle; recover before final disposal would be an effective effort a sustainable 'T-Shirt'. In addition, legitimacy would be another important one to monitor the use of pesticides, toxics, to aware people, emphasize clean production, training, financial assistance or subsidize in manufacturing level and advocacy program could play vital roles.

# References

- Roy, P., Nei, D., Orikasa, T., Xu, Q., Okadome, H., Nakamura, N. and Shiina, T. "A Review of Life Cycle Assessment (Lca) on Some Food Products," *Journal of Food Engineering*, 90(1), 1-10, 2009.
   In article
- Udo de Haes, H.A. and Heijungs, R. "Life-Cycle Assessment for Energy Analysis and Management," Applied Energy, 84(7-8), 817-827, 2007.
  In article
- ILO, Global Employment Trends Brief 2006, Global Employment Trends Brief 2006 International Labour Office, Genève, Switzerland, 2006.
   In article
- UNIDO, Indstat4: Industrial Statistics Database, Indstat4: Industrial Statistics Database United Nationals Industrial Development Organization, Vienna, Austria 2006.
   In article
- [5] Allwood, J.M., Laursen, S.E., Russell, S.N., de Rodríguez, C.M. and Bocken, N.M.P. "An Approach to Scenario Analysis of the Sustainability of an Industrial Sector Applied to Clothing and Textiles in the Uk," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(12), 1234-1246, 2008.
   JIn article
- [6] Wackernagel, M., Kitzes, J., Moran, D., Goldfinger, S. and Thomas, M. "The Ecological Footprint of Cities and Regions: Comparing Resource Availability with Resource Demand," *Environment and Urbanization*, 18(1), 103-112, 2006.
   JIn article
- [7] Muthu, S.S., Li, Y., Hu, J.Y. and Mok, P.Y. "Quantification of Environmental Impact and Ecological Sustainability for Textile Fibres," *Ecological Indicators*, 13(1), 66-74, 2012.

	JIn article	CrossRef
[8]	Kendall, A. "Time-Adjusted Global Warming Potentials for Lca and Carbon Footprints," <i>The Internation of Life Cycle Assessment</i> , 17(8), 1042-1049, 2012.	onal Journa CrossRef
[9]	Bair, J. <i>Global Commodity Chains: Genealogy and Review, in Bair, J. Frontiers of Commodity Chain</i> University Press., Stanford, 2009.	s, Stanford
[10]	Worsham, J.B., "A Life Cycle Assessment (Lca) for Cotton : The Significance to the Global Cotton In Proceedings of the Conference A Life Cycle Assessment (Lca) for Cotton : The Significance to the C Industry, International Cotton Advisory Committee	ndustry," Global Cotto
[11]	Blackburn, R.S. <i>Sustainable Textiles: Life Cycle and Environmental Impact,</i> Woodhead Publishing S Textiles, University of Leeds, UK, 2009.	eries in CrossRef
[12]	Mekonnen, M.M. and Hoekstra, A.Y., <i>The Green, Blue and Grey Water Footprint of Crops and Deriv</i> <i>Products: Value of Water Research Report Series</i> , UNESCO-IHE 2010, 1, 47. JIn article	ed Crop
[13]	Sandin, G., Peters, G.M. and Svanström, M. "Moving Down the Cause-Effect Chain of Water and La Impacts: An Lca Case Study of Textile Fibres," <i>Resources, Conservation and Recycling</i> , 73, 104-113	ind Use 3, 2013. CrossRef
[14]	Mattsson, B., Cederberg, C. and Blix, L. "Agricultural Land Use in Life Cycle Assessment (Lca): Cas Three Vegetable Oil Crops," <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 8(4), 283–292, 2000. In article	e Studies o
[15]	Inc., C., <i>The Life Cycle Inventory &amp; Life Cycle Assessment of Cotton Fiber &amp; Fabric</i> , National Cottor America, United States, 2012.	Council of
[16]	Snape, i., Acomb, I.a., Barnes, d.I., Bainbridge, s., Eno, r., Filler, d.m., Ato, n.p., Pol, j.s., Raymond, T.c., Rayne, I., Riddle, m.j., Rike, a.g., Rutter, a., schafer, a.n., Siciliano, s.d. and Walworth, j.I. <i>Contamination, Regulation, and Remediation: An Introduction to Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Cold Regions</i> . In Dennis M Filler, I.S.a.D.L.B. (Ed.), Bioremediation of Petroleum Hydrocarbons in Cold Regions Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2014.	
[17]	Okoh, A.I. "Biodegradation Alternative in the Cleanup of Petroleum Hydrocarbon Pollutants," <i>Biotech Molecular Biology Review</i> , 1(2), 38-50, 2006. In article	nology and
[18]	Akoachere, J.F.T.K., Akenji, T.N., Yongabi, F.N., Nkwelang, G. and Ndip, R.N. "Lubricating Oil-Degra Bacteria in Soils from Filling Stations and Auto- Mechanic Workshops in Buea, Cameroon: Occurrer Characteristics of Isolates," <i>African Journal of Biotechnology</i> , 7(11), 1700-1706, 2008. In article	ding ace and
[19]	Environment, A., Use of Gross Parameters for Assessment of Hydrocarbon Contamination of Soils i Environment Sciences Division, Alberta, Canada, 1993. In article	n Alberta,
[20]	MacKenzie, A.F., Fan, M.X. and Cadrin, F. "Nitrous Oxide Emission in Three Years as Affected by Ti Soybean-Alfalfa Rotations, and Nitrogen Fertilization," <i>Journal of Environmental Quality</i> , 27(3), 698- In article	llage, Corn- 703, 1998. CrossRef
[21]	Gullan, P.J. and Cranston, P.S. <i>The Insects: An Outline of Entomology,</i> Wiley Blackwell, Chichester, Sussex, 2010. In article	West
[22]	Shen, L., Worrell, E. and Patel, M.K. "Environmental Impact Assessment of Man-Made Cellulose Fit <i>Resources, Conservation and Recycling</i> , 55(2), 260-274, 2010.	ores," CrossRef
[23]	Wang, J., Yu, J., Wu, X. and Lv, X., Energy and Emissions Reduction of Printing and Dyeing Industry and Emissions Reduction of Printing and Dyeing Industry, China, 2011.	y, Energy
[24]	Baban, A., Yediler, A. and Ciliz, N.K. "Integrated Water Management and Cp Implementation for Wo Textile Blend Processes," <i>CLEAN - Soil, Air, Water</i> , 38(1), 84-90, 2010.	ol and CrossRef
[25]	Terinte, N., Manda, B.M.K., Taylor, J., Schuster, K.C. and Patel, M.K. "Environmental Assessment of Fabrics and Opportunities for Value Creation: Spin-Dyeing Versus Conventional Dyeing of Modal Fa <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 72, 127-138, 2014.	<sup>7</sup> Coloured brics," CrossRef
[26]	Yuan, ZW., Zhu, YN., Shi, JK., Liu, X. and Huang, L. "Life-Cycle Assessment of Continuous Pad Technology for Cotton Fabrics," <i>The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment</i> , 18(3), 659-672, In article	-Dyeing 2012. CrossRef
[27]	Ibrahim, N.A., Abdel Moneim, N.M., Abdel Halim, E.S. and Hosni, M.M. "Pollution Prevention of Cott Reactive Dyeing," <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 16(12), 1321-1326, 2008. In article	on-Cone CrossRef
[28]	Timbrell, J.A. <i>Principles of Biochemical Toxicology</i> . Biochemical Mechanisms of Toxicity: Specific Ex (293-408). 2008. JIn article	amples
[29]	Nielsen, A.M. and Nielsen, P.H., Comparative Life Cycle Assessment of the Elemental T-Shirt Produced Biotechnology and a Conventional T-Shirt Produced with Conventional Technology, Seeds 4 Green, Bretonneux, France, 2009. In article	iced with Voisins le
[30]	Henningsson, S., Hyde, K., Smith, A. and Campbell, M. "The Value of Resource Efficiency in the For A Waste Minimisation Project in East Anglia, Uk," <i>Journal of Cleaner Production</i> , 12(5), 505-512, 20 In article	od Industry: 04. CrossRef
[31]	Hospido, A., Moreira, M.T. and Feijoo, G. "Environmental Analysis of Beer Production," <i>International Agricultural Resources, Governance and Ecology</i> , 4(2), 152–162, 2005. In article	Journal of CrossRef
[32]	WRAP, Valuing Our Clothes: The True Cost of How We Design, Use and Dispose of Clothing in the Resources Action Programme, WRAP, Oxon, UK, 2012, 35. In article	Uk, Waste &
[33]	EU, Recycling Textiles, <i>Recycling Textiles</i> European Commission, 2014. JIn article	
[34]	Standing, G. "Global Feminization through Flexible Labor," <i>World Development</i> , 17(2), 1077-1095, 1 JIn article	989. CrossRef
[35]	Trust, C., <i>Cotton</i> , The Carbon Trust, London, Uk, 2011. JIn article	
[36]	FAO, Aquastat Website, <i>Aquastat Website</i> Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Rome, Italy, 2015.	(FAO),
[37]	WWF, Agriculture and Environment: Cotton - Environmental Impacts of Production: Use of Agrocher Agriculture and Environment: Cotton - Environmental Impacts of Production: Use of Agrochemicals International, Gland, Switzerland, 2012.	nicals, WWF
[38]	HWWI, <i>Cdm Potentials for Spv Pumps in India</i> , Hamburgisches Welt Wirtschafts Institut (HWWI), Ha Germany, 2005.	amburg,
[39]	Taibi, E., Gielen, D. and Bazilian, M. "The Potential for Renewable Energy in Industrial Applications," and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 16(1), 735-744, 2012.	" Renewabl
[40]	Muthu, S.S., Li, Y., Hu, JY. and Mok, PY. "Recyclability Potential Index (Rpi): The Concept and Qu of Rpi for Textile Fibres," <i>Ecological Indicators</i> , 18, 58-62, 2012.	iantification
	Rhee, Y.W. "The Catalyst Model of Development: Lessons from Bangladesh's Success with Garmer	nt Exports,"
[41]	World Development, 18(2), 333-346, 1990.	CrossRef

Pages Home About Us Journals Conferences Special Issues

Partners Google Scholar CrossRef IBAAS VIRAL HEPATITIS CONGRESS JournalTOCs Help & Contacts Contact Us Sitemap Feedback FAQ

yright © 2012-2014 Scien Education Publishing Co.

Follow Us